CHECKLIST OF COERCIVE AND VIOL	ENT ACTIONS TO AVOID IN ALL INTERACTIONS
If anyone aims any of the following a	ctions at you, stop the action or leave the
scene. Or you can decide to put up wi	th it and recover from the effects later.
ISOLATION (cuts off all social suppor	ts for resistance)
force dependence on interrogator	solitary confinement
develop intense concern with self	speak for the victim
withhold info victim needs to know	tell others victim prefers isolation
involve others in scam against victim	tell victimic cusporters of false westitu
break commitment to victim's safety	
blocking exit/entrance	turning back on victim/silence
MONOPOLIZING PERCEPTION (enslaves thou	backing victim into a corner
fix attention on immediate predicament foster introspection	
unnecessarily frequent intrusions	
foldoly pomyon of lain-	lying to victim
falsely accuse of lying	blame terrorist's wounds on the victim
blaming for interrogator's offense	other false accusation
involve victim in scam	eliminate competing stimuli
interrogating	advising beh response to scam's effects
frustrate noncompliance	enforcing fabricated reality or history
fabricating reality or history	physical isolation
restricted movement or environment	reinforcing victim worry or anxiety
pretending victim controls torture	pretending victim chose the consequence
darkness or bright light	pretending victim can choose consequences
barren environment	monotonous food
INDUCED DEBILITY (impairs resistance)	exploiting a wound
induced illness (applying stressor)	prolonged interrogation
exposure	prolonged constraint
overexertion	sleep deprivation
violating victim's right	requiring victim to waive a right
THREAT (cultivates anxiety or despair)	threat of endless interrogation
threat of endless isolation	threat of death
threat of abandonment	threat against family
vague threat	mysterious change in treatment
letting victim worry unnecessarily	<u>creating a scam against victim</u>
OCCASIONAL INDULGENCE (creates Stockholm Syndrome)	
promising the unintended	occasional favors
fluctuation of interrog attitude	
reward for partial compliance	assuming victim has misbehaved
DEMONSTRATE OMNTPOTENCE (Suggests futi	consoling for advised beh's consequence
DEMONSTRATE OMNIPOTENCE (suggests futility of resistance) confrontation	
pretending to know victim's needs	pretending cooperation granted
slavery	directing victim to torture self
breaking promise	commanding without option
	demo control over victim's fate
ignoring victim's pleas	touching without permission
overt pretense to know victim's needs	withhold support convenient to supply
withhold knowledge needed by victim	cutting victim off during reasoning
revoking reward for compliance	destroying victim's belongings
taking victim's belongings	forcing immediate recorses
DEGRADATION (inflates cost of resistand	ce, reduces concerns to animal level)
dementing bunishment	insult
taunt	invading privacy
denial of privacy	treating victim like an object
constant unrequested touching	touching victim/belongings without permission
belittling	name-calling
ENFORCING TRIVIAL DEMANDS (develops had	oit of compliance)
forced writing	enforcement of minute rules
Copyright 1994 by Elisabeth Green	
Adapted from Biderman's Chart on Penal Coercion, from the 1983 Amnesty International	
Report on Torture.	
· V	